1 2

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 5:25-MJ-00197

ORDER OF DETENTION

V.

CLIFFORD MICHAEL LAVOY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

I.

- A. (On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
 - 1. (a crime of violence.
 - 2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
 - 3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years .
 - 4. () any felony where the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.
 - 5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C § 2250.
- B. () On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case

1	allegedly involving:
2	On the further allegation by the Government of:
3	1. () a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
4	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
5	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
6	b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or
7	attempt to do so.
8	C. The Government () is/ (is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
9	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.
11	
12	II.
13	A. (The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
14	reasonably assure:
15	1. () the appearance of the defendant as required.
16	() and/or
17	2. (the safety of any person or the community.
18	B. () The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient
19	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
20	
21	III.
22	The Court has considered:
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the
24	offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor
25	victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
26	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
27	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
28	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

IV.

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

A. () As to flight risk:

- B. (V) As to danger: DEFUNDANT IS AllegED TO BE A
 SENIOR MEMBER OF THE MONGOLS MOTORCYCLE GANG
 AND IS FURTHER ALLEGED TO HAVE ASSAULTED
 A MEMBER OF A RIVAL MOTORCYCLE GANG IN
 A VIOLENT AND CONCERTED FASHICK, AND TO
 HAVE AIDED AND ABETTED HIS CO. DEFENDANT
 (POLIDO), WHO SUBSEQUENTLY SHOT AND KILLED THE
 VICTIM. BASED ON THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE
 COMPLAINT THE COURT FINDS NO CONDITIONS
 WHICH WOULD LEASONABLY ASSURE THE SAFETY
 OF THE COMMUNITY.
- A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
 - 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
 - 2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

26

27

28

DATED: 4 15 25

DAVID T. BRISTOW

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE